

Quality Assurance for the Higher Education Change Agenda (QAHECA)

Prof. Dr. Lothar Zechlin

http://www.eua.be/quality-assurance/qaheca/



European QA developments

- Bologna Declaration (1999): quality is not a key issue
- Prague 2001: the role of QA agencies predominates
- Berlin 2003: Quality moves to the top of the agenda; Institutional, National and European level
- Bergen 2005: European Standards and Guidelines (proposed by ENQA)
- London 2007: European Quality Assurance Register (developed by EUA, ENQA, EURASHE, ESIB)



EUA Policy and QA developments http://www.eua.be/quality-assurance/

- Diversity, but European dimension
- Quality Culture Project (2002 2003)
- Creativity in Higher Education (2006 2007)
- QA Forum:
 - ✓ Nov 2007 Rome: Over 500 participants
 - ✓ Nov 2008 Budapest: 80 papers received
- EQAR: 1st applications to be processed in November 2008
- QAHECA: EUA project to develop and test internal/external QA approaches that support creativity (2007 – 2009)
- Rankings and labels
- OECD-AHELO project



QUAHECA: A pilot project on institutional quality mechanisms for teaching and learning

- Overall Objective: to develop a methodology, both for internal and external QA, which is:
- Future oriented
- Focused on the change process
- Geared towards enhancement
- Aiming to strengthen creativity and innovation in higher education



Why a new quality methodology?

- Quality Assurance in higher education is "under construction"
- Concerns about the potentially detrimental effects of some forms of quality processes in higher education
 - ✓ Over-bureaucratisation
 - √ Standardisation, compliance behaviour
 - ✓ Backward orientation
 - √ "QA fatigue"
 - -> Stifling creativity and innovation
- Internal and external quality processes should be linked
- Need for operational recommendations
 - √ Focus on institutional responsibility
 - ✓ Student-centred: teaching and learning



Project Management

Partner consortium

- ✓ EUA
- ✓ ACQUIN, Germany
- √ The Higher Education Academy, UK
- √ National University of Ireland, Maynooth

Steering Committee

composed by partner institutions and EUA Office



Working method

- Build on the joint experience and expertise of higher education institutions and quality assurance agencies
- HEIs (23) and QA agencies (7) selected by Steering Committee through competitive open call
- Development of methodology through
 - √ A series of 3 seminars attended by all participants
 - Testing of draft methodology by participating institutions between second and third seminar



What is understood by « methodology »?

NOT prescriptive commandments ("thou shalt/not") NOT substituting current QA systems...

Instead:

- Questions to explore existing practice in terms of intention and impact: Eg Why do we do this? Is the outcome what we want (in terms of creativity and innovation)? How do we know? Etc
- Formulation of concise recommendations to HEIs and quality assurance agencies based on this work



For instance:

- How can the internal QA and the external accreditation processes be shaped and enhanced by good, creative practice?
- How do you take account of creative ideas in curriculum development from a range of sources, such as students, staff in all roles (teaching and non teaching) and external stakeholders?



Expectations of Participants

- Three main responsibilities:
- To contribute experience and ideas
- To involve institutions in work between seminars
- To report back on feasibility and impact
- Without input of experience, ideas of institutions and agencies, there can be no output



Key Dates

2008

10/11 April: Ist Seminar, York

29/30 May: 2nd Seminar, Bayreuth

2009

5/6 February: 3rd Seminar, Maynooth

Mid 2009: dissemination of final publication



Final thought

Does it matter that we may be confused or seeing things in differently at the moment?

« If we're all thinking the same thing, then we're probably not thinking... »